

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,707	02/10/2004		Byung Jin Choi	PA129/UTS-48-02D09	3826
7.	590	08/27/2004		EXAMINER	
Kenneth C. B			DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M		
Molecular Imprints, Inc. Legal Department				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 8153	36			2834	
Austin, TX 7	8708-1536			DATE MAILED: 08/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/775,707	CHOI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del>-                                    </del>				
		Thomas M. Dougherty	2834	8				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHO THE N - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any n	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the digraph of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON's statute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10 February 2004.		•				
,		This action is non-final.						
•	• •	e this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is ed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from consideration.						
Application	on Papers							
10) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur  2. Certified copies of the priority docur  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But ee the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4\ ☐ Intentiew S	ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (P10-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-94) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/S No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No(s	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/775,707

Art Unit: 2834

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11, drawn to an apparatus with a flexure system, classified in class 29, subclass 25.01 and class 118, subclass 500 and 250 subclass 491.1 and class 318 subclass 34 and/or 438 subclass 1.
- II. Claims 12-20, drawn to a pre-calibration-type stage actuation apparatus classified in class 29, subclass 25.01 and class 118, subclass 500 and 250 subclass 491.1 and class 318 subclass 34 and/or 438 subclass 1.
- III. Claims 21-27, drawn to a piezoelectric flexure stage, classified in class 310, subclass 328.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Group I and of Groups II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as scanning-tunneling-microscope stage or

Application/Control Number: 10/775,707

Art Unit: 2834

positioning device and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions of Group II and Group III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an actuated flexure system not employing piezoelectric actuation, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Direct inquiry to Examiner Dougherty at (571) 272-2022.

find

August 25, 2004

HOMAS M. DOUGHER PRIMARY EXAMINER